

Call to order Tuesday December 5th @ 8:00 PM by Curtis Moen.

Sean was asked to take notes since Kelly was out.

Present where Suzy, Kurt, Donny and I.

After reviewing the minutes and striking Dan Reeson from attendance. Meetings minutes were motioned by Sean to approve w/ that correction and 2nd by Donny.

All were in favor.

Sean printed off the Survey and delivered it to Dan on Monday. Tuesday Dan took it to Nathan for stuffing. Prior to printing Sean update the document per Reeson request and added plenty of space for comments on the back. The cost was about \$65 and the receipt was also turned into Nathan. The surveys will go out with the November tax statements. The planning commission is hoping to start tabulating results in February.

Planning Commission and others were invited to attend the Adams planning committee meeting on December 14th @ 7:30 PM. Monica Hodgson invited us.

The board heard from Dan Reeson, Dan Truttman and Kurt on the Boards work on the building ordinances related to Trailers.

-----  
9.4

-----  
Ensure that new development bears all capital improvement costs necessitated by the development. Several spoke on the subject and since we don't have sewers or other things like that all felt we are covering costs.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" by Sean Second By "Donny" All in Favor.

-----  
Maintain proper separation distances between rural residential and agricultural operations to avoid conflicts. All agreed that the ordinances in place by County cover most of this for us but leaves the door open to change if needed.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" by Sean Second By "Donny" All in Favor.

-----  
Discourage development in major drainage corridors in order to aid storm water runoff and prevent flooding.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----  
Consider implementing an historical preservation ordinance, in order to preserve and/or enhance the irreplaceable historic structures and locations and archeological sites in the community.

We need to check to see if Federal law already protects this.

-----  
The fragmentation of cropland should be avoided

Curt requested that we double check past minutes on this when because we thought we already changed it.

-----  
Locate multi-family apartment buildings, senior housing, and special needs housing near or inside cities and villages, where there is access to public services and facilities.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----

Encourage future residential development to locate in areas that can be served with public utilities and community facilities.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Suzy Second By "Sean" All in Favor.

-----

Encourage housing developers to cluster homes on smaller lots while preserving open space in the development.

Motioned to Change to "March 1st" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----

Impose impact fees on new development to mitigate the capital costs of new public facilities/services necessitated by the development.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----

All homes shall be a minimum of 1400 square feet of above grade living space

Complete and passed by Town Board

-----

Section 9.7

-----

No new development shall be allowed to locate within the right-of-way along any existing or future public road.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Suzy Second By "Sean" All in Favor.

-----

Developers should be required to pay the cost of road improvements or construction and these must meet the local road or street design standards.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----

Section 9.8

-----

Direct large-scale economic development projects to urban areas where a full range of utilities, services, roads and other infrastructure is available and when possible locate new development adjacent to existing commercial or industrial developments.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Suzy" All in Favor.

-----

Discourage unplanned, continuous strip commercial development along major roadways.

Motioned to Change to "Ongoing" Sean Second By "Donny" All in Favor.

-----

Next meeting Scheduled for 2nd of January @ 8 PM

-----  
Public Comments

Dan Reeson brought up concerns about existing lot owners and their desire to build but existing road not meeting Driveway Ordinance and there is no grandfather clause. Planning commission recommended taking it to the lawyer for review due concerns about law suits.

Dan Truttman also spoke again about his desire for the planning commission to act on Land Use and sighting. He reviews our land use with his legal counsel (Glen Reynolds) and they both recommend changes to enhance land use ordinance. He also recommended ideas similar to Town of Dana, which reviews the entire development plans before doing anything. This would include survey plat, layout, soil analysis and Public hearings.

The board thanked Dan for his comments. They also noted that his desires are well know but the board would probably not act until after survey results were in. In addition to that several board members continued to convey their views they are comfortable with the current state in addition to the ordinances already on the books. As always the board is always willing to listen to everyone's thoughts and opinions.